Molecular Biology of Head and Neck Cancer: Risks and Pathways
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There is a remarkably diverse array of anatomy and tumor morphologies, with at least 10 anatomic subsites of the head and neck, challenging all members of the multidisciplinary team to precisely define the extent of a patient’s disease (Fig. 1). Although most of the histopathology consists of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), there are dozens of other pathologic diagnoses. Accordingly, a broad spectrum of treatment modalities is offered, frequently in combination, including chemotherapy, radiation (including intensity-modulated radiation therapy), and surgery with and without reconstruction. Historically, the treating teams have labored to join the anatomic and morphologic considerations of a patient’s disease (and patient preference and comorbidities) to select the appropriate range of treatment options. Increasingly, clinicians are also required to consider a new set of issues—the so-called “molecular determinants” of head and neck cancer. In the sections that follow, the authors attempt to highlight the spectrum of these targets that are most likely to impact clinicians and patients in the coming years. The authors touch briefly on inherited and somatic aberrations that predispose to tumorigenesis (genetic and epigenetic) and on a number of specific cancer pathways as targets of tumorigenesis and therapeutics. Finally, the role of new and developing molecular diagnostics in the management of patient care is considered.
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MOLECULAR BASIS OF RISK FACTORS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF HEAD AND NECK CANCER

The most well known risk factor for developing head and neck cancer is the deleterious effects of tobacco. Indeed, head and neck SCC (HNSCC) was one of the first carcinomas to be linked with $p53$ mutations caused by tobacco usage.\(^1\) Alcohol use is synergistic with tobacco in causing HNSCC. There are other cultural habit-forming risk factors that have an association with HNSCC. Betel nut, a fruit that is the basic ingredient of a stimulant chew, is used by an estimated 200 to 400 million people throughout Southeast Asia.\(^2\) Betel nut is incorporated into Asian medicines to treat a variety of complaints, from headaches to rheumatism.\(^3\) The odds ratio of developing leukoplakia and submucous fibrosis from using betel nut is 5 compared with 1 in nonchewers.\(^4\) The addition of tobacco raises the risk threefold.\(^4\) The duration and frequency of betel nut use increase the risk of developing cancer, suggesting a dose-response relation.\(^5\)

Tobacco smoke is associated with structural changes in DNA, particularly those induced by oxidative damage. Benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide (BPDE), a known tobacco carcinogen, induces genetic damage by forming covalently bound DNA adducts throughout the genome, including $p53$.\(^6\) Damage induced by BPDE and other such carcinogens can be repaired through the nucleotide excision repair (NER) system. Along with the NER system, the base excision repair (BER) system is another set of multistep enzymatic complexes involved in the repair of nonspecific DNA damage, including gamma and ultraviolet radiation, cross-linking, and chemical intra-/interstrand adduct formation. The BER system handles the largest number of cytotoxic and mutagenic base lesions by specifically removing alterations of a single base pair that has been methylated, oxidized, or reduced and corrects single-strand interruptions in DNA.\(^7\) Therefore, individual variations in NER/BER is one of the factors that may influence tobacco smoking–related cancer risks like HNSCC.

---

**Fig. 1.** Diversity of head and neck cancer. Histopathologic diagnoses that present at the various subsites in the head and neck.
Several studies have demonstrated that sequence variations in NER/BER genes contribute to HNSCC susceptibility.8–11 The ERCC1 gene product is a key enzyme in the NER system, and one particular polymorphism at the ERCC1 gene (C8092A) may affect its mRNA stability, resulting in impaired DNA repair capacity.12 Two single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the XPD gene (Asp312Asn and Lys751Gln), also part of the NER cascade, have been associated with suboptimal DNA repair capacity.13 There are conflicting data regarding SNPs in the BER system and the predilection for developing HNSCC. Li and colleagues9 in one of the largest case-control studies of 830 patients who had HNSCC and 854 cancer-free control subjects, evaluated the progression to HNSCC based on polymorphisms in three BER nonsynonymous SNPs. Although the BER system enzyme XRCC1 (Arg399Gln) inconsistently increases the risk of HNSCC in Caucasians,13–16 Li and colleagues9 concluded that polymorphisms in the ADPRT enzyme of the BER system are associated with HNSCC and demonstrated that individuals who have the ADPRT 762Ala/Ala and Ala/Ala1Val/Ala genotypes are at lower risk of developing HNSCC compared with individuals who have the Val/Val genotypes. Further studies to elucidate the genetic predisposition of developing HNSCC in the face of total tobacco burden may provide preventative health benefits in the future to those who have susceptible polymorphisms.

Marijuana is the most commonly used illegal drug in the United States and the second most commonly smoked substance after tobacco.17 Habitual marijuana smoking manifests with similar signs and symptoms associated with chronic tobacco use.18,19 Furthermore, the carcinogenic properties of marijuana smoke are similar to those of tobacco, and numerous studies parallel the use of cannabinoids to cancer development.20–22 Marijuana has been shown to induce cytogenic changes consisting of chromosomal breaks, deletions, and translocations in mammalian cells in vivo.23 Until recently, there was not enough evidence to suggest a causative relation with oropharyngeal HNSCC, especially those cases caused by tobacco use;24 however, HNSCC caused by human papilloma virus (HPV) may be associated with marijuana (see later discussion).

Clearly, normal variation in patient genotype for genes in DNA repair pathways appears to modify baseline risk for cancer development, especially when impacted by environmental toxins such as smoking. In parallel, there is a range of germline variants that are more rare than SNPs and accordingly called mutations. These rare heritable events can be sporadic or conserved in families and are frequently recognized due to the high penetrance of one of a number of recognized familial cancer syndromes. Fanconi’s anemia, known for the risk of developing lymphoreticular malignancies due to germline mutations in the caretaker genes FFA, FAD, and FCC, carries a risk for developing second primary cancers in the tongue, pyriform sinus, and postcricoid region.25 Patients who have Bloom syndrome are characterized to have mutations in the helicase genes and are predisposed to developing solid tumors in a number of anatomic sites, 6% and 8% of which arise from the tongue and larynx, respectively.26 Homozygotes who have ataxia telangiectasia who survive into their 20s and 30s are at increased risk of developing chronic T-cell leukemia and solid malignancies of the oral cavity, breast, stomach, pancreas, ovary, and bladder.27 Xeroderma pigmentosum, an autosomal recessive disorder of one or more of the XP genes in the NER system, manifests second primaries within the oral cavity in addition to the known risks of skin malignancies.26,28 Other such syndromes and affected genes (indicated in parentheses) with primary manifestations in the head and neck include Cowden disease (PTEN), multiple endocrine neoplasia type I (MEN I), multiple endocrine neoplasia type II (MEN II), neurofibromatosis type II (NF-2), and retinoblastoma (Rb).
Genetic cancer syndromes are generally recognized by the early age of onset of malignancies in impacted individuals and by specific or unusual patterns of tumors. Cancer syndrome tumors are of scientific importance out of proportion to their incidence in that they point clearly at specific pathways and targets that are key to the development of malignancy, in contrast to sporadic tumors in which the causative lesion may be difficult to identify. For example, a relatively rare cancer syndrome, multiple endocrine neoplasia, type IIA, is well known for its association with germline mutation of the RET proto-oncogene. Although MEN IIA is rare, the RET gene has relevance in a wide variety of tumors and is targeted by anti-cancer drugs, such as vandetanib.29,30

VIRAL ASSOCIATIONS AND NEW EPIDEMIC OF HEAD AND NECK SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA CAUSED BY HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS

Recently, HPV infection has been identified as an etiologic agent for oropharyngeal carcinoma, a subset of SCCs that comprises the tongue base and tonsil. Patients who have oropharyngeal SCCs and have the HPV genes incorporated in their tumor genome are younger in age (by 3–5 years) and are less likely to have a history of tobacco and alcohol use.31

What is most disconcerting is that although the overall incidence of HNSCC (1973–2004) has steadily declined according to the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results database, the incidence of oropharyngeal cancer is increasing among younger age groups.32–35 The unsettling implication is that the incidence of HPV-related HNSCC of the oropharynx could overtake HPV-unrelated HNSCC, which is thought to be associated more with traditional risk factors.

There is substantial evidence that infection with high-risk HPV subtypes, in particular HPV-16, is a risk factor for the development of oropharyngeal cancers.36–47 In fact, Gillison and colleagues48 claimed that HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSCC of the oropharynx should be classified as two distinct cancers based on the clinical and molecular risk factors and etiology. According to their case-controlled study, patients at risk for HPV-16–positive oropharyngeal SCC were more likely to be white (P = .06), married (P <.001), college educated (P = .03), and have an annual income over $50,000 (P <.01); intensity or duration of tobacco smoking or alcohol consumption did not increase the odds ratio of HPV-16–positive HNSCC.48 Although case-control studies have not linked marijuana use to HPV-negative HNSCC, Gillison and colleagues48 demonstrated a strong association of marijuana use and HPV-16–positive HNSCC and further theorized plausible mechanisms of cannabinoid modulation of the immune system.

High-risk HPV strains (HPV-16 and HPV-18) associated with oropharyngeal SCC (and cervical cancer) manipulate cellular pathways within affected cells to activate cell growth and suppress apoptosis. Malignant transformation begins with inactivation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene by E6, whereas a second HPV protein, E7, inactivates the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (Rb). The HPV E6 and E7 proteins, encoded in the HPV-16 genome, functionally disrupt regulatory cell-cycle and DNA-repair pathways that drive genetic or epigenetic changes during molecular progression of HNSCC.49 E6 targets the cellular ubiquitin-protein ligase E6-AP, which then targets p53 for ubiquitination and degradation, leaving cell growth unregulated. E7 associates with Rb and p21, blocking the interaction of Rb with E2F and initiating uncontrolled cell division.50

Not only is the nascent HPV-positive tumor subject to inactivation of tumor suppression genes from the viral genome, but several genes involved in transcription and cell cycle regulation are among the most prominent up-regulated genes in these
tumors. One such cell cycle inhibitor is CDKN2A, which encodes the p16INK4A tumor suppressor protein that functions as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor in the Rb tumor suppressor pathway. Increased expression of p16INK4A may potentially reflect loss of a negative feedback loop associated with inactivation of Rb by HPV E7. Overexpression of p16INK4A is strongly correlated with HPV infection in head and neck carcinomas and has been used as a surrogate marker for HPV.

Detecting high-risk HPV using in situ hybridization from ethanol-fixed and Papanicolaou-stained smears after fine-needle aspiration has a 93% correlation to corresponding tissue sections positive for HPV-16 with polymerase chain reaction. Of interest, HPV-positive tumors are associated with nonkeratinizing cytomorphology. A recent meta-analysis showed that 26% of HNSCCs from all subsites contain HPV genomic DNA, and it is now estimated that over 50% of oropharyngeal HNSCCs are related to HPV infection. Although in situ hybridization and polymerase chain reaction techniques are available, there are no standardized clinical tests approved by the Food and Drug Administration for HPV-positive HNSCC tumors.

TUMORIGENESIS/CARCINOGENESIS

In the case of HPV, a virus can usurp normal cellular processes, whereas in the case of most patients, the development of carcinoma is the result of a stepwise accumulation of genetic alterations. Three main steps include initiation, promotion, and progression. For this multiple-step process to succeed, numerous cellular processes and rearrangements must occur. The creation of an initial, critical, early genetic change helps set into motion the carcinogenic process. Exposure to carcinogenic factors may lead to the abnormal expression of tumor suppressor genes, proto-oncogenes, or both, which in turn activate pathways that lead to the malignant transformation of cells. Oftentimes, this abnormal expression may include a sporadic mutation, deletion, loss of heterozygosity, overexpression, or epigenetic modification such as hypermethylation. For example, telomerase, an enzyme involved in immortalization, has been shown to be reactivated in roughly 90% of HNSCCs, whereas a deletion of 9p21 is found in 70% to 80% of these cases. Various point mutations in TP53 and the loss of heterozygosity of 17p are shown to exist in over 50% of HNSCC lesions. When this occurs, secondary genetic changes create greater genetic instability, shifting the cell toward a more malignant phenotype. Specifically, inactivation of tumor-suppressor genes allows for cellular proliferation to continue with unregulated and autonomous, self-sufficient growth. Proto-oncogenes also play a key role in tumorigenesis by helping the cell attain a malignant phenotype.

Six hallmarks of cancer cells have been described that distinguish them from their normal counterparts: (1) self-sufficiency in growth signals, (2) insensitivity to growth-inhibitory signals, (3) evasion of programmed cell death, (4) immortality or unlimited replicative potential, (5) sustained angiogenesis, and (6) tissue invasion and metastasis. In the following sections, the authors discuss major pathways, receptors, and proteins implicated in the initiation or progression of HNSCC as they relate to aspects of all six of these hallmarks. It is the accumulation of specific abnormalities such as those described, likely along with other genetic events and alterations, that accounts for the process of carcinogenesis in HNSCC.

FIELD CANCERIZATION

Although it is unclear exactly why HPV appears to target certain subsites in the head and neck, the pattern is clear. In contrast, there seems to be a more general phenomenon seen in smokers in whom broad regions of tissue appear to be damaged, giving
rise to multiple premalignant and frankly invasive tumors. In 1953, Slaughter and colleagues first hypothesized that primary tumors emerge from a layer of precancerous tissue and coined the term “field cancerization” after demonstrating histopathologic changes consistent with genetic aberration from normal mucosa. Forty years after Slaughter and colleagues proposed field cancerization, Califano and colleagues demonstrated the molecular basis for histopathologic changes. Samples of dysplastic mucosa and benign hyperplastic lesions displayed loss of heterozygosity at specific loci (9p21 [20%), 3p21 [16%), 17p13 [11%]). In particular, loss of 9p21 or 3p21 is one of the earliest detectable events leading to the progression to dysplasia. Candidate tumor suppressor genes include p16 (9p21), p53 (17p), and Rb (13q), and a candidate proto-oncogene includes cyclin D1 (11q13). (Courtesy of Joseph A. Califano, MD, Baltimore, MD.)

The high rate of recurrence in the location of the primary tumor is thought to be a result of the fact that 30% of histopathologically benign squamous cell epithelium consists of a clonal population, with genetic alterations seen in HNSCC. Studies using microsatellite analysis and X chromosome inactivation have verified that metachronous and synchronous lesions from distinct anatomic sites in HNSCC often originate from a common clone. This evidence confirms that genetically altered mucosa is difficult to cure in the HNSCC patient because it is on the path to tumorigenesis. Indeed, second primaries are common in patients who have HNSCC.

EPITHELIAL-TO-MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION

There is evidence that suggests that fundamental changes to the programming of cells, including stem cells, may also be involved in tumorigenesis. One program that is particularly dangerous is the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a phenotypic change in cells that provides them with the ability to escape from the constraints of surrounding tissue architecture. It has been postulated that EMT is the means by which epithelial tumors invade and metastasize to other tissues. As defined by Hugo and colleagues, EMT is a culmination of protein modifications and transcriptional events in response to extracellular stimuli. These changes lead to long-term yet sometimes reversible cellular changes. Abnormalities in cadherins, tight junctions,
and desmosomes lead to a decrease in cell–cell adherence and loss of polarity in the cells, increasing the mobility of these cells. More specifically, epithelial cells disassemble their junctional structures, undergo extracellular matrix remodeling, begin to express proteins of mesenchymal origin, and subsequently become migratory. This process has been postulated to be a part of normal embryogenesis, the inflammatory process, and wound healing. When the process of EMT becomes pathologic, it lacks the tight coordination and regulatory checkpoints that are normally present. Specifically, in the carcinogenic process, EMT causes changes in tumor cell properties that contribute to tumor invasion and metastasis, enabling cancer cell dissemination and self-renewal capabilities. In HNSCC, EMT has been found to play a role, especially in high-risk tumor subtypes. Chung and colleagues showed that genes involved in EMT and nuclear factor–kappaB (NF–κB) signaling deregulation were the most prominent molecular characteristics of the high-risk tumors in the subset they examined. Although it is clear that EMT plays a role in tumorigenesis in many cancers, the complete clinical significance of this process is yet to be fully defined.

**EPIGENETIC MODIFICATION**

Many programs (such as those discussed in Fig. 2) are the result of direct damage to the genome; however, there are other mechanisms of heritable somatic changes in gene expression that do not require direct alteration of the DNA sequence itself. The DNA molecule can be modified, such as by the addition or subtraction of methyl groups, without a change in the base composition. Similarly, histones, the structural proteins found in close association with DNA, can be modified by acetylation, methylation, or ubiquitylation. These non-DNA encoded modifications can result in heritable changes in gene expression that are clinically significant, including in the setting of cancer. Different cancers display varying behaviors, likely due to the multiple epigenetic changes and genetic mutations that occur within a tumor environment. Hypermethylation is one such type of epigenetic modification that is increasingly well characterized. Recently identified as a probable component in the development of carcinoma, hypermethylation in certain promoter regions of a gene can lead to repression of transcription. Numerous studies have implicated this process of aberrant methylation in many tumor suppressor genes, causing them to become inactive.

**MOLECULAR PATHWAYS INVOLVED IN HEAD AND NECK SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA**

Increasingly, model systems and other research techniques have helped to decipher pathways of importance for patients (Fig. 3). Knowledge of these pathways has led investigators to interrogate key pathway components for tumor-specific gene mutations, and many have been reported in head and neck tumors (Table 1). Initial clarity in the activated pathways and mutated genes of head and neck tumors resulted in clinical trials of a host of targeted therapies, such as those documented in Table 2. The most promising pathways and agents from this inventory are discussed in the following paragraphs.

*Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor*

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling has been strongly implicated in carcinogenesis, tumor progression, and response to therapy in HNSCC (reviewed by Thariat and colleagues). The ErbB family of proteins, a family of four structurally related receptor tyrosine kinases, comprises four receptors (ErbB 1–4, also known as HER 1–4) and 13 polypeptide extracellular ligands. In the literature, ErbB2 is synonymous with HER2/neu, whereas ErbB1 is commonly referred to as EGFR.
When ligands bind to one of the ErbB receptors, a dimer forms and the receptor's intracellular tyrosine residues then undergo ATP-dependent autophosphorylation. Currently, there are 12 different ligands that are known to activate four known ErbB receptors.

When phosphorylated, the receptor has the potential to trigger a number of different intracellular downstream pathways that can eventually arrest apoptosis, promote cellular proliferation, stimulate tumor-induced neovascularization, and activate carcinoma invasion and metastasis. The Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal–related kinase (Ras-MAPK-ERK) pathway is known to control gene transcription, cell proliferation, and cell-cycle progression, whereas the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/Akt) pathway has been shown to stimulate numerous antiapoptotic signals within the cell. The Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) and phospholipase-C\(\gamma\)/protein kinase C pathways are also activated in association with EGFR phosphorylation. Thus, EGFR plays a role in carcinoma growth and survival through a multitude of oncogenic downstream signaling pathways.

EGFR mRNA and protein are known to be preferentially expressed in HNSCC compared with surrounding normal tissues, suggesting a significant role in carcinogenesis. Similarly, most epithelial carcinomas overexpress and possess functional activation of the EGFR family of receptors. In HNSCC, EGFR is overexpressed in up to 80% to
### Table 1
Limited listing of the most common somatic mutations of various head and neck subsites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head and Neck Subsite&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sample Positive/Total (% Positive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Larynx</strong></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>45/262 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>10/43 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>5/82 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>4/166 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>2/96 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oral cavity</strong></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>98/508 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>67/494 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FGFR3</td>
<td>44/136 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIK3CA</td>
<td>18/145 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>14/497 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oropharynx</strong></td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>33/156 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>19/173 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>7/27 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>3/105 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>3/52 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tonsil</strong></td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>7/45 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sinonasal cavity</strong></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>4/121 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>2/11 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>2/11 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STK11</td>
<td>2/7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>1/5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Esophagus (upper one third)</strong></td>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>4/4 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>1/4 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>1/3 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIK3CA</td>
<td>1/3 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTNNB1</td>
<td>0/9 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thyroid</strong></td>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>2013/4793 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>274/706 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>132/1962 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>80/1878 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>56/1844 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salivary gland</strong></td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>17/90 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>5/13 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTNNB1</td>
<td>2/44 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>1/40 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>1/8 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the capability exists to detect these mutations within tumor samples, their full clinical relevance has yet to be fully realized.

<sup>a</sup> SCC was the only histology tested for in the larynx, oral cavity, oropharynx, tonsil, sinonasal cavity, and esophagus. A wider range of histologic variants was included for the analysis of thyroid and salivary gland subsites.
100% of tumors, some of the highest rates of any human carcinoma.\textsuperscript{72,73} There are regional differences among tissues in the head and neck that express EGFR, with relatively lower levels associated with laryngeal tumors compared with those of the oral cavity and oropharynx.\textsuperscript{74}

EGFR demonstrates increased overexpression in the more advanced-stage carcinomas and in those carcinomas found to be poorly differentiated.\textsuperscript{70} In addition, EGFR overexpression is associated with decreased patient survival rates and has been demonstrated by some groups to confer resistance to various therapeutic modalities, including targeted therapy.\textsuperscript{70,75–79} Although the association with poor patient prognosis has not been as clearly established, specific mutations of the EGFR receptor have also been studied. The most common mutation of EGFR is likely \textit{EGFRvIII}, occurring in up to 40% of HNSCC.\textsuperscript{80} This mutant receptor is found only in cancer cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Name (Trade Name)</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Phase of Study in Head and Neck Cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cetuximab (Erbitux)</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gefitinib (Iressa)</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib (Tarceva)</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panitumumab (Vectibix)</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIBW 2992 (Tovok)</td>
<td>EGFR, HER-2/neu</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zalutumumab (HuMax-EGFr)</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab (Herceptin)</td>
<td>HER-2/neu</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatinib (Tykerb)</td>
<td>EGFR, HER-2/neu</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib (Recentin)</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib ( Nexavar)</td>
<td>Raf, VEGF</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semaxanib</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib (Sutent)</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab (Avastin)</td>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>I/II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romidepsin</td>
<td>Histone deacetylase</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat (Zolinza)</td>
<td>Histone deacetylase</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib (Sprycel)</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinases</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib (Gleevec)</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinases</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>VEGF, tyrosine kinases</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib (Zactima)</td>
<td>VEGF, EGFR</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL880</td>
<td>VEGF, tyrosine kinases</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perifosine (KRX-0401)</td>
<td>AKT</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortezomib (Velcade)</td>
<td>NF-kB, tyrosine kinases</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonafarnib (Serasar)</td>
<td>Farnesyl transferase</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanespimycin (KOS-953)</td>
<td>Hsp90</td>
<td>I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD0530</td>
<td>Src/Abl kinase</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This partial list was obtained through an extensive and comprehensive search on \url{www.clinicaltrial.gov}.

\textit{Abbreviations:} Akt, protein kinase B; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; Hsp90, heat shock protein 90; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
and manifests from an in-frame deletion of exons 2 to 7, which encodes the receptor’s extracellular domain, thus resulting in a constitutively active receptor that is completely independent of any activation by way of ligand binding. The fact that EGFRvIII is not found in normal tissues makes this a very intriguing, highly specific target for therapy, given that it would not interfere with the normal EGFR signaling in noncancerous tissues (see Table 1).

In addition to overexpression, other pathologic manifestations of EGFR can be performed through mutational activation, amplification, and transactivation by other tyrosine kinases. The potential, constitutive activation of several different oncogenic pathways, by way of EGFR-independent mechanisms, likely explains the lack of response that is commonly appreciated in patients being treated with EGFR inhibitor therapy.

With the prominent role that EGFR is known to play in tumorigenesis, this family of proteins was a logical choice in pursuing a new class of targeted cancer therapy. Currently, there are several EGFR antagonists available for clinical use in the treatment of four metastatic epithelial carcinomas, including non–small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, pancreatic cancer, and HNSCC. The two classes of therapies that exist to date are monoclonal antibodies to EGFR receptor subunits and small-molecule EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. In simplest terms, the monoclonal antibodies probably act by binding the conserved extracellular domain of EGFR and by blocking the ligand-binding region by competitive inhibition, which in turn blocks ligand-induced autophosphorylation through the inability to stimulate tyrosine kinase. The EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors function by way of a separate mechanism. They act by reversibly competing with ATP in its binding site to the intracellular catalytic domain of tyrosine kinase, therefore inhibiting autophosphorylation of EGFR and its subsequent downstream signaling.

Akimoto and colleagues were the first to provide evidence that EGFR expression may have an effect on radiation sensitivity, a result that has been validated clinically. EGFR overexpression in head and neck cancer cell lines was found to have greater radioreistance compared with cell lines that had relatively lower levels of EGFR expression. It was also found that following radiation, EGFR becomes up-regulated within the tumor, leading to increased activation of its downstream signaling pathways. This work culminated in the landmark 2006 publication of the randomized trial by Bonner and colleagues that showed an overall and progression-free survival advantage with the addition of cetuximab to standard radiation therapy.

At least 40 trials involving patients who have HNSCC are currently investigating various “targeted agents” including tyrosine kinase inhibitors and antibody therapy. More than 10 different EGFR-targeting agents are in development for the treatment of various carcinomas. Although a great deal of effort has gone into the development and validation of predictive biomarkers, it remains difficult to determine a priori who will benefit from these therapies. In some studies, EGFR expression is an independent predictor of response, whereas in others, no relationship is appreciated. The search for molecular predictors of clinical outcome that would potentially optimize patient selection and therapeutic efficacy continues to be an area of intense ongoing investigation.

**Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1 Receptor**

An emerging potential target for directed, molecular-based cancer therapy is the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling axis. Numerous preclinical and clinical studies have implicated the IGF-1 receptor (IGF-1R) and its ligands, IGF-1 and IGF-2, in the development and progression of a number of human cancers. IGF-1R is
a transmembrane heterotetramer receptor that consists of two α and two β subunits. Like the insulin receptor, IGF1-R possesses tyrosine kinase activity. With activation of the receptor, downstream signaling events include phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1, activation of MAPKs, and stimulation of the PI3K pathway. This activation of the Ras-MAPK-ERK and PI3K/Akt pathways is similar to the downstream signaling seen with EGFR autophosphorylation and activation. Six IGFBPs are known to exist in humans. These proteins have been shown to help modulate the effects of IGF-1 by way of multiple unique mechanisms. In humans, IGF-1 is bound to one of the IGFBPs over 95% of the time, with IGFBP-3 accounting for roughly 85% of this binding.

In vitro and in vivo studies have shown that IGF-1R encourages cellular growth and protects cells from apoptosis. This phosphorylation cascade leads to the activation of various transcription factors involved in cellular proliferation and transformation. Constitutive activation and overexpression of IGF-1R has been associated with malignant transformation, involving glioblastoma, melanoma, pancreatic, breast, colon, and ovarian carcinoma models. The receptor has been closely linked to the metastatic properties of tumor cells, with studies showing that the receptor to signal pathways are linked to tumor invasiveness and angiogenesis. IGF-1R signaling has also been shown to influence and promote focal adhesion stability, cell-to-cell contact, and cellular motility. Numerous studies have also shown that enhanced IGF-1R activation is associated with resistance to certain cytotoxic chemotherapy regimens, hormonal agents, biologic anticancer therapies, and radiation therapy.

Although Ouban and colleagues showed only 13% immunostaining positivity for IGF-1R in 31 different human HNSCC tissue samples, other studies have shown much higher levels of expression. IGF-1R is ubiquitously expressed at varying levels in cancerous tissues, and plays an intricate role in the regulation of cellular proliferation and differentiation even at very low levels of expression.

As mentioned previously, the IGF-1R and the EGFR signaling pathways are intricately associated with one another, regulating overlapping downstream signaling pathways. Increased IGF-1R expression has been reported to mediate resistance to anti-EGFR–based therapies in certain solid tumors, including glioblastoma, pancreatic, and breast carcinoma. It has been found that the use of both antibodies in combination was more effective in reducing cancer cell growth than the use of either single agent alone. There may be a potential benefit in the use of combined anti–tyrosine kinase receptor–directed therapies to treat HNSCC. Slomiany and colleagues also demonstrated the potential for the cotargeting of IGF-1R and EGFR signaling pathways in HNSCC.

Design of IGF-1R inhibitors has proved to be somewhat problematic, due to the close homology (60%–70% amino acid homology) with the insulin receptor; however, specific inhibitors have recently been developed. Several approaches to tumor growth inhibition have been undertaken, including IGF-1R dominant mutants, IGF-1R blocking antibodies, and oligonucleotides aimed at down-regulating IGF-1R expression, but little success has been achieved in terms of improved clinical outcomes. The first IGF-1R tyrosine kinase inhibitor demonstrating in vivo therapeutic potential (NVP-AEW541 [Novartis]), however, has been shown to enhance tumor cell chemosensitivity and inhibit tumor growth in human fibrosarcoma, myeloma, and Ewing’s sarcoma models. Studies with the inhibitor have yet to be undertaken in an HNSCC model.

Phosphatidylinositol-3-Kinase/Protein Kinase B Pathway

The PI3-K/Akt signal transduction pathway has been shown to regulate numerous cellular processes, including apoptosis, proliferation, cell cycle progression, cytoskeletal
stability and motility, and energy metabolism.\textsuperscript{127,128} Activated Akt induces increased expression of numerous proliferative and antiapoptotic proteins, including Bcl-2, Bcl-x, and NF-kB.\textsuperscript{127} The pathway has been shown to be activated in up to 50\% to 80\% of HNSCCs.\textsuperscript{78} The PI3-K/Akt pathway is one of the main downstream signaling pathways activated by the ErbB/tyrosine kinase receptor family of receptors. After ligand binding, the cytoplasmic domain of the EGFR undergoes tyrosine phosphorylation and subsequently activates PI3-K.\textsuperscript{129} Activation of the PI3-K/Akt pathway, however, is not entirely dependent on the tyrosine kinase family of receptors. In certain carcinoma models, it has been shown to be activated through direct mutation or amplification of PI3-K, amplification of Akt, activation of the RAS oncogene, or decreased expression of the tumor-suppressor protein phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), a known inhibitor of the PI3-K/Akt pathway.\textsuperscript{129} Loss of PTEN expression, along with Akt activation, correlates with worse clinical outcomes in patients who have SCC of the tongue.\textsuperscript{130,131} This pathway has also been found to be overexpressed and activated in a number of different carcinomas, including HNSCC. In a study conducted by Massarelli and colleagues,\textsuperscript{132} it was shown that disease-free survival was significantly decreased in cases of SCC of the tongue that stained positive for activated Akt (p-Akt). The comparatively poor outcome that was associated with p-Akt expression was also found to be independent of cancer stage and nodal status. Akt activation has also been correlated with the squamous cell progression and transformation—from normal epithelial tissue, to dysplasia, and even to invasive SCC.\textsuperscript{133}

The PI3K/Akt pathway has also been shown to be up-regulated following radiation therapy. Bussink and colleagues\textsuperscript{129} described how the pathway is intricately involved with resistance to radiation therapy by way of multiple mechanisms. The RAS oncogene is a well-known contributor to the intrinsic radioresistance of tumor cells, mediated at least partially through its downstream signaling through the PI3-K/Akt pathway.\textsuperscript{129} In addition, this pathway is involved in DNA repair by way of EGFR signaling, with multiple studies showing that with EGFR blockade, the PI3-K/Akt pathway–mediated DNA repair process is altered. When combined with radiation therapy, this decreased DNA repair leads to greater levels of tumor cell apoptosis and subsequent improved locoregional control compared with radiation therapy alone.\textsuperscript{129}

Although this pathway is most often activated through EGFR, EGFR-independent activation is also common and of clinical relevance.\textsuperscript{129} Using tissue microarray technology, Molino and colleagues\textsuperscript{134} showed that the PI3-K/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway was frequently activated in HNSCC samples and that this was often independent of any associated EGFR activation. There has also been a strong and independent correlation between expression of activated Akt (pAkt) and treatment outcome in laryngeal and oropharyngeal HNSCC.\textsuperscript{132,135} Similarly, enhanced Akt activity has been independently associated with more-advanced tumor stage and progression in a number of different malignancies.\textsuperscript{136} Knowing this information, further study of this pathway (independent of EGFR) is needed so that it can be used in regard to treatment and prognostic markers for HNSCC.

A recent phase II trial conducted by Karamouzis and colleagues\textsuperscript{137} involved the use of an Akt phosphorylation/activation inhibitor (perifosine) in a small group of patients who had incurable recurrent HNSCC, metastatic HNSCC, or both. Although the inhibitor had some preclinical antitumor activity in vivo, no objective clinical responses were appreciated, with 18 of 19 patients having disease that progressed at 8 weeks’ follow-up.\textsuperscript{137} Akt activation, however, has also been associated with resistance to EGFR inhibition in a non–small cell lung cancer model,\textsuperscript{138} and therefore, benefits from combined targeted molecular therapy are still of potential interest. The potential clinical usefulness of the PI3-K/Akt pathway as a therapeutic target and a prognostic marker in HNSCC is promising.
Mammalian Target of Rapamycin

One of the downstream cell-growth regulators associated with the PI3-K/Akt pathway is an atypical serine/threonine kinase named mTOR. Although Akt helps control cellular proliferation and growth through the coordination of mitogenic signaling with energy- and nutrient-sensing pathways that control protein synthesis, it requires mTOR to fully exert these effects. mTOR is involved in modulation of the cell cycle and ribosomal function to subsequently participate in cell growth and apoptosis. When activated through Akt, mTOR phosphorylates the translation regulator p70-S6 kinase, which in turn activates the ribosomal S6 protein, a protein involved in translation and one of the most downstream targets of the PI3-K/Akt/mTOR pathway. This activation of S6 ribosomal protein thus adds to the control of cell growth through increased manipulation of mRNA translation.

Amornphimoltham and colleagues found that in clinical specimens from patients who had HNSCC and from HNSCC-derived cell lines, aberrant accumulation of activated S6 (p-S6) was a frequent occurrence in early dysplastic lesions and carcinomas. These investigators also showed that the activated ribosomal protein was decreased when HNSCC cell lines were treated with rapamycin, a macrolide antibiotic and a known inhibitor of mTOR. Similar findings were seen when rapamycin was used in an HNSCC xenograft model. In vivo, rapamycin’s effects included induction of apoptosis and inhibition of cellular growth of the HNSCC cells, with subsequent tumor regression.

It has also been shown that rapamycin sensitivity of tumor cells is at least partially dependent on the dysregulation of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN, the well-known inhibitor of the PI3-K/Akt pathway. In some of the HNSCC cell lines, EGFR inhibition had no effect on the activity of the mTOR pathway, indicating a potential clinical and therapeutic benefit when EGFR inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors are used in combination. The study revealed the Akt/mTOR pathway to be a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of HNSCC, particularly in terms of development of analogs to rapamycin.

Nathan and colleagues showed that one of the main downstream effectors of the Akt/mTOR pathway, eIF4E, was overexpressed in histologically “tumor-free” surgical margins of resected HNSCC samples and was an independent predictor of tumor recurrence. In a later study, the same group used an eIF4E-overexpressing, PTEN-mutant HNSCC cell line, FaDu, in a minimal residual disease murine model, and showed that the rapamycin analog temsirolimus (CCI-779) was effective in prolonging survival, including improved tumor-free survival. It was concluded that CCI-779 represented a new potential targeted therapy for the treatment of HNSCC, because overexpression and activation of mTOR occurs in many of these tumors.

Nuclear Factor–Kappa B

NF-κB transcription factors are the final downstream mediators of many of the earlier-mentioned pathways and therefore play a key role in head and neck cancers. It has become clear that NF-κB-mediated inflammatory signaling is overexpressed in many HNSCCs. Expression has also been shown to be associated with tumorigenesis and metastasis. Constitutive NF-κB activation has been shown to be common when induced by carcinogen exposure or stimulation with oncogenic viruses, with induced levels of activation being seen in tissue specimens of HNSCC. Increases in nuclear localization of NF-κB have been detected in roughly 85% of patients who have HNSCC, with increased immunostaining correlating with worse prognosis of the disease.
NF-kB is known to be an important factor in regulating the expression of genes associated with angiogenesis (interleukin [IL]-8), apoptosis (Bcl-xL), cellular proliferation (cyclin D1), and proinflammatory cytokine cascades (IL-6, IL-1α). In terms of cell survival and apoptosis, NF-κB, in close association with STAT3 and p53, has been found to directly affect the balance of proapoptotic and antiapoptotic proteins in SCC cell lines. NF-κB is also known to be involved in attenuated sensitivity to cytotoxic anticancer therapy. Similarly, the reactive oxygen species that ionizing radiation creates in the body have been shown to induce NF-κB as a cytoprotective mechanism within tumor cells, rendering the radiation therapy less effective. There is even evidence that NF-κB has direct carcinogenic effects that give tumor cells the ability to evade the regulatory functions of the immune system. It has also been shown that tobacco smoke condensate and betel nut extract activate NF-κB indirectly by way of degradation of inhibitor κB (IκB) proteins.

With its constitutive activation and proven role in radiation therapy resistance, its inhibition is a logical target for therapy. Salicylates, antioxidants, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, prostaglandins, and glucocorticoids have shown effectiveness in various settings to inhibit NF-κB. IκB kinase beta inhibitors have also shown some effectiveness in preclinical studies in various carcinomas, yet no head and neck carcinoma studies are currently underway. Further development of these novel therapies continues in the hopes that through inhibition of NF-κB, a decrease in tumor invasion, aggressiveness, and metastasis will be realized.

Heat Shock Protein 90

Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) is a molecular chaperone that induces conformational changes in numerous protein substrates including transcription factors and protein kinases. Hsp90 has been shown to be involved with proteins in many signaling pathways important for tumor cell survival, proliferation, and metastasis. Specifically, Hsp90 is associated with the EGFR pathway, IGF-R, Akt, ERK, Ikβ kinases, p53, and STAT3. It has been shown to be constitutively expressed at up to 10 times higher levels in tumor cells compared with normal cells. Therefore, therapeutic inhibition of Hsp90 offers the potential to simultaneously disrupt numerous pathways known to be involved in the progression toward malignant phenotypes.

A geldanamycin derivative and semisynthetic analog, 17-AAG (Tanespimycin), became the first Hsp90 inhibitor to enter clinical testing. Recently, Shintani and colleagues investigated the effects of 17-AAG on radiation sensitivity on oral SCC cell lines in vitro. They found that the radiation response was enhanced in 17-AAG-treated cells, but only in cell lines with wild-type p53 expression. Yin and colleagues recently used a novel Hsp90 inhibitor, EC5, in their study of eight HNSCC cell lines. EC5, a benzoquinone ansamycin antibiotic derivative, was shown to have antitumor effects in xenograft models. These investigators also compared these results with 17-AAG, and it was shown that EC5 caused more potent antitumor effects in these models.

PROGNOSTIC AND DIAGNOSTIC MARKERS, GENETIC PROFILING

With the advent of increasingly sophisticated molecular detection techniques and technologies such as DNA microarrays, large numbers of genetic markers are able to be tested with greater ease. In a study by Roepman and colleagues, predictor gene sets were found to have greater predictive power in the detection of local nodal metastases from primary tumor samples than the current clinical diagnosis and staging systems. Although this technology offers excellent opportunities to further dissect
the molecular and genetic interactions that participate in carcinogenesis, it is clear that conflicting data and findings will persist as continued improvement in analysis and interpretation occurs.

Early in the molecular study of head and neck cancer, several studies demonstrated an association between p53 abnormalities and poor outcome. Yet, other large follow-up studies failed to demonstrate such an association. The prognostic significance of p16 aberrations has also been variable. Most studies conducted on the significance of EGFR and cyclin D1 overexpression have shown them to be associated with a worse prognosis in patients who have HNSCC. Similarly, genetic alterations of Cox-2, p27, p53, CCDN1, and vascular endothelial growth factor, among others, have been shown in various studies to be associated with an increased risk of metastasis, disease recurrence, or overall worse prognosis. Like many other markers, however, there have been other studies that have failed to confirm these significant findings.

As single molecular markers, most that have been studied to date have failed to show sufficient predictive potential in terms of the course of disease, prognosis, and survival. Although single markers may not prove to have the clinical applicability that many had hoped for, combinations of different molecular markers and genetic expression patterns may offer more promising diagnostic and prognostic value. Using cDNA microarray technology, Chung and colleagues looked at 60 HNSCC tumor samples and categorized them into four distinct subtypes of HNSCC, each showing clinically unique behavior. Not only did they show that these subtypes showed distinct behavior clinically but they also concluded that the status of possible regional metastases could be predicted by genetic microarray analysis of the primary lesion. Other combinatorial approaches using biomarkers and traditional clinical markers have been proposed and will likely gain increasing interest. Selection from among the competing markers and their incorporation into clinical practice will be one of the major challenges on the horizon for physicians.

SUMMARY

Although cancer is generally thought of as a disease of DNA, the risk for any individual of developing a tumor based on his or her genomic makeup cannot be explicitly determined given our current scope of knowledge. The risk, however, is certainly a function of germline DNA composition, interaction with the environment (especially toxins and infections), and perhaps an arbitrary component of statistical probability applied over the years of a normal lifespan. The types of genetic damage incurred are probably primarily to DNA in the form of deletions, amplifications, or focal damaging mutations, although other mechanisms are increasingly noted to be important, including epigenetic changes to chromatin. No matter what the mechanism, the ultimate event is a perturbation of normal cellular biomolecules and homeostasis leading to the hallmark processes of cancer characterized by pathways such as those described in the preceding sections.

Certainly, most scientists and clinicians emphasize models of carcinogenesis similar to the ones just described—heavily focused on molecular events and cancer pathways. Yet, even though our research colleagues rely heavily on an increasingly sophisticated set of molecular assays and reagents, the clinical care of the head and neck cancer patient is currently almost devoid of any molecular diagnostic. Likewise, the set of targeted cancer therapies for our patients remains limited, and prognosis or response to therapy has rarely if ever been assigned based on molecular testing. The landscape, however, appears likely to change in the near future. One has only to look at the therapies listed in Table 2 to witness the increasing need to
identify which specific genes and pathways are altered in a particular tumor. It is likely that patients at differential risk of developing cancer are increasingly likely to be identified from germline DNA, in the form of mutations or SNPs. Tumors that are primarily attributable to viral etiologies are likely to be identified with potentially altered treatment paradigms, or perhaps averted entirely through vaccines. The integration of molecular diagnostics and the molecular risk–based approach to cancer treatment that is pathway driven is likely to be not only a major success but also a major challenge that our generation faces over the coming years.
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